

TRUNK SEWER INVESTIGATION, REHABILITATION & FUNDING

Case Study: GLOVERSVILLE-JOHNSTOWN JOINT WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY



C.T. Male Associates

Engineering, Surveying, Architecture, Landscape Architecture & Geology, D.P.C.



Investigation Summary

- Comprehensive Review of 3.75 miles of trunk sewer
- 24-inch to 42-inch diameter pipe
- Pipe materials vary





Investigation Summary

- Four Creek Crossings
- VCT pipe installed in 1960's
- RCP and CIP installed in 1970's
- 75 Manholes





Investigation Summary

- Condition Assessment
 - Manholes
 - Pipe
 - Access
- Structures not located







investigation Goals

- Complete Condition Assessment
- Long Term Plan for Maintenance
- Budget Cost Estimates for Capital Planning
- Prioritization of Maintenance and Replacement Projects to support a funding strategy

investigation conclusions

Project	Description	Estimated Cost	Priority *
No. 1	Cleaning 12,405 LF	\$130,000	1
No. 2	Clean and Line 4,182 LF	\$1,800,000	2
No. 3	Clean and Camera 1,725 LF	\$27,420	1
No. 4	Clean & Line MH 17 to MH 16; Replace MH 17	\$253,270	2
No. 5	Replace MH 23 & 24; Clean & Line 145' of 42" RCP	\$155,360	2
No. 6	Replace MH 38 & 39; Clean & Line 165' of 36" RCP; Clean and Line 210' DIP	\$220,230	1
No. 7	Replace MH 42 &43; Replace 216' of 36" RCP	\$202,690	2
No. 8	Replace MH 94,95,96,97,98; Replace 1,263' of 27" VCP	\$972,540	1
No. 9	Replace MH 107, 108, 109; Replace 797' of 27" VCP	\$615,600	1
No. 10	Replace MH 113	\$59,800	2
	TOTAL Estimated Cost	\$4,436,910.00	

Table 4.1

M容向 区.T. Male Associates

Priority 1 = A project that should be considered to be completed in 0 to 5 years. Priority 2 = A project that should be considered to be completed in 5 to 15 years.

Rehabilitation





- Lining of Cast Iron Pipe (CIP) at creek crossing (heavy cleaning required)
- 36-inch diameter lining
- Access improvement to manholes



Rehabilitation



- Replacement of 27" VCP with 27" PVC
- Bypass of 4 MGD flow with peaks of 10 MGD





Rehabilitation





- Severe cracking around entire circumference noted from CCTV inspection under bypass
- Manhole replacement



Rehabilitation Videos



ABA C.T. Male Associates

Rehabilitation Videos



M容向 区.T. Male Associates

Rehabilitation Videos



ABA C.T. Male Associates

Conclusions

- Comprehensive study and careful planning of improvements are important
- CCTV inspection of pipeline without bypassing flow has limited value
- Pipe Replacement vs. Lining Pros & Cons

Replacement vs. Lining

Lining

Replacement



Replacement vs. Lining

Considerations

- Pipe Material / Age / Condition/Depth
- Obstacles and other Utilities
- Traffic control
- Environmental conditions
 - Service interruptions
 - Inconveniences
 - Other projects
- Surface Treatment / Restoration

So...How can we afford to rehabilitate our trunk sewer infrastructure?





How to Fund Your Project?

Understanding the Problem

- Neighborhood or Community-wide?
- Demographics
- Can you afford it on your own?
- Consent Orders, Other Mandates
- Public Health Threats
- Economic Development / Revitalization Goals

Funding Trends

- More Money
- More Grant Opportunities
- As interest rates rise, public Loans may become more favorable, even with some added cost
- Green Infrastructure / Energy Savings
- Regional Agencies and Commissions

Get Ready for Funding

- Capital Plans
- Prioritize needs, wants and capacity
- Work with engineers / funding experts
- Talk to regional State agency representatives
- Application eligibility and thresholds, public participation requirements, Hearings
- Local Match Requirements

Project Planning

- What do we WANT to do?
- What do we HAVE to do?
- What does our *Community* want?
- Who are our *Partners*?

A留画 C.T. Male Associates

Where is the Money? Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program

- Infrastructure Water, Sewer, Stormwater
- Public Facilities Senior / Youth Centers
- Economic Development Construction of Facilities and Infrastructure that creates Jobs
- Community Planning Engineering Plans / Needs
 Assessments for Public Facilities and Infrastructure

CDBG Program

- \$20-\$25 Million Annually
- 100% Grant
- Municipalities (only)
- Counties (Non-HUD Entitlement)
- Joint Applications
- Co-funding encouraged

The Skinny

- Income Targeted
- Federal Laws & Regs
- Highly Competitive
- Prep Time (months)
- Evidence of Need

CDBG Program

- Up to \$750k for Public Infrastructure
- Up to \$1 Million if the project is "co-funded" with other Federal or State grant and/or loan programs – *awarded*
- Good resource for phasing larger Capital Plans
- Benefit to Low and Moderate Income Persons Income Survey of Project Area or Community required
- Preliminary Engineering Report needed at Application
- Seek other funds first; Awards based on NEED

CDBG Infrastructure Village of Fort Edward



RuthAnne Visnauskas, Commissioner/CEO

Phase IV Safe Water Improvement Program Phase 4 Water and Sewer



Project Planning Funds

Environmental Planning Grant Program – (EFC)

- Engineering studies of WWTP and Collection (I&I) problems
- Muni with certain MHI / \$25k to \$100k with 20% match
- Emerging Contaminants Project Planning Grant Program – (EFC and DOH)

NEW for 2018-2019

 Engineering studies for Water Systems with Emerging Contaminants – (PFOA, PFOS, 1, 4-dioxane)

Design and Construction – (through the CFA)

Green Innovation Grant Program – (EFC)

- Implement "green" features to existing projects to reduce CSOs, prevent run-off, improve water quality
- Transformative projects with regional or economic impact

Water Quality Improvement Program – (DEC)

- Municipalities, MS4s, Soil and Water Conservation Districts
- Sewer Treatment Works, Wastewater Conveyance
- Project ideas often based on prior Planning Grants (EPG)

- NYS Water Grants Program (WIIA)
 - Environmental Facilities Corporation (Annual Cycle)
 - Grant up to 25% of Project Costs for Sewer / 60% for Water
 - Design, engineering and construction are eligible
 - Stand alone Grant funding if you have the other 75%
 - OR: Subsidized or 0% Loans for remainder of Project Costs
 - Local borrowing or capital funds are other options
 - Engineering Report / SEQR / SHPO / Bond Res Needed

Other "Creative" Options

Empire State Development Corporation (ESD)

- Water and sewer mains, treatment facilities, or related infrastructure (roads) to increase or extend capacity
- Project must attract /retain companies and JOBS
- Generally 20 to 25% of Project Costs
- Municipality and/or private company to fund remainder

Other "Creative" Options

U.S. Economic Development Administration (EDA)

- Federal funds for Projects with Significant Impacts
- Recommended through a regional ED entity
- Regional Commissions (typically Federal funds)
 - Limited funding, multiple counties, random cycles
 - Ideal for capital planning and engineering studies





血管層 C.T. Male Associates





Planning and Patience



...And a little creativity...

Will lead to funding success!



Questions

Chad Kortz, P.E. Director of Engineering c.kortz@ctmale.com Jim Thatcher Manager, Community Development j.thatcher@ctmale.com



